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Background and Context

Long-term care (LTC) or nursing homes have experienced the worst of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in Canada, with residents of these homes accounting for approximately 80% of Canadian COVID-19 deaths.1 Between March and April, 2020 when outbreaks and deaths intensified in Canadian LTC homes, homes across the country implemented strict blanket “no visitor” policies as part of their infection prevention and control (IPAC) strategies.2,3 As the community prevalence of COVID-19 continues to decrease in Canada, and regions across the country begin phased re-openings, experts and advocates have grown increasingly concerned that subsequent visiting policies and family caregiver access to LTC settings remain overly restrictive, causing substantial and potentially irreversible harm to the health and wellbeing of residents.4

A more balanced approach is needed that both prevents the introduction of COVID-19 into LTC homes, but also allows family caregivers and visitors to provide much needed contact, support and care to residents, to maintain their overall health and wellbeing.

We reviewed the emerging LTC home visitor policies for Canada’s ten provinces and three territories (see Appendix 1) as well as international policies and guidance on the topic in order to recommend, evidence-informed and data driven guidance to support a balanced, risk-mitigated re-opening of Canadian LTC homes to family caregivers and visitors.5 While this guidance is specific to LTC homes, many of the guiding principles and planning assumptions presented in this document could be applied to other congregate settings such as retirement homes and group homes.

Definitions

**Family Caregiver:** is any person whom the resident and/or substitute decision-maker identifies and designates as their family caregiver. As essential partners in care, they can support feeding, mobility, personal hygiene, cognitive stimulation, communication, meaningful connection, relational continuity, and assistance in decision-making.4

**Essential Support Worker:** is a person performing essential support services (e.g., food delivery, inspector, maintenance, or personal care or health care services such as phlebotomy or medical imaging).6

**General Visitor:** is neither a family caregiver nor an essential support worker and is “visiting” primarily for social reasons.6
These efforts should be executed with the support and input of family caregivers, existing resident and family councils as well as from LTC home medical directors, administrators, involved primary care and specialist providers, and local IPAC and public health leadership. We also recognize that reopening LTC homes will require additional resources including funding for personal protective equipment (PPE), IPAC support and addressing chronic staffing shortages to support visitor protocols. Importantly, homes must ensure that existing care resources are not reduced to support this implementation, which could negatively impact resident care, especially for those residents who do not have family caregivers or visitors.

**Guiding Principles and Planning Assumptions about Visitor Policies and Access**

In reviewing the literature, consulting with national and international experts (see Acknowledgements), and hearing from both residents, and their family caregivers and visitors through various forums, we have identified six core principles and planning assumptions as foundational and fundamental to any current and future guidelines. These recommendations focus on family caregivers and general visitors rather than essential support workers and LTC home staff, and are made with the acknowledgement that the approach to visiting may need to be dynamic based on the community prevalence of COVID-19.

1. **Policies must differentiate between “family caregivers” and “general visitors”**. Residents, substitute decision makers and their families should have the authority and autonomy to determine who is essential to support them in their care.

2. **Restricted access to visiting must balance the risks of COVID-19 infection with the risks of social isolation to resident health, wellbeing and quality of life.**

3. **Visitor policies should prioritize equity over equality and be both flexible and compassionate.** Whereas equality would mean giving all LTC residents the same access to visitors, equity means giving LTC residents the right amount of access they need to maintain their health and wellbeing.

4. **Governments, public health authorities and LTC homes must provide regular, transparent, accessible and evidence-based communication and direction about visitor policies and access.**

5. **Robust data related to re-opening LTC homes to family caregivers and general visitors should be collected and reported.**

6. **A mechanism for feedback and a process for rapid appeals should be established.**
1. Policies must differentiate between “family caregivers” and “general visitors”. Residents, substitute decision makers and their families should have the authority and autonomy to determine who is essential to support them in their care.

It is imperative that visitor policies identify and distinguish “family caregivers” from “general visitors” who are visiting primarily for social reasons. While socialization is certainly important, family caregivers as partners in care should be prioritized to support resident health and wellbeing. Family caregivers are those individuals who assume essential caregiving responsibilities for a spouse, family member, or friend who needs help because of limitations in their physical, mental, or cognitive functioning, and are essential to meeting the needs of residents especially in the face of chronic staffing shortages.8-13 Family caregivers also help ensure that all residents receive culturally safe and appropriate care, especially LGBTQ2S+ and Indigenous residents and/or those with language barriers. Importantly, while the term family caregiver is widely used, it is important to recognize that approximately 15% of all caregivers are not related to their care recipients, including some who may be privately hired.8 The importance of identifying family caregivers is that they are not accessing the LTC home primarily for social reasons, but rather to provide services and care such as assistance with feeding, medical decision-making, and management of responsive behaviours among residents living with dementia.14

While the definition of family caregiver has been operationalized in various ways, in a resident-centred and caregiver-partnered LTC system, residents and their substitute decision makers and families must have the sole authority and autonomy to determine who is essential to support them in their care.4 This differs from approaches such as those used in Australia that have relied on identifying family caregivers as those individuals with a clearly established and regular pattern of involvement in contributing to the care and support of residents prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.15 This definition fails to recognize that some individuals may be willing and able—or need to—assume caregiving responsibilities to assist with special care needs and staffing shortages that have been further aggravated during the COVID-19 pandemic, or provide care which they may not have been able to previously. It also fails to recognize that as conditions change during a pandemic, so too might a resident’s desire or need for support change, and their ability to designate family caregivers must be flexible, consistent with their ongoing right to choose.
It also fails to address that limiting or eliminating congregate dining and recreational activities during the COVID-19 pandemic may now necessitate that those who were once “general visitors” become “family caregivers” to better address unmet resident needs.

Other definitions being proposed also violate the principles of resident-centred and caregiver-partnered care, including those that identify family caregivers as those individuals providing services that would otherwise require a private duty caregiver; this definition could be open to interpretation and a source of disagreement between LTC homes, residents and their families.14,16

Given there are both diverging definitions and interpretations of who constitutes a family caregiver, residents, substitute decision makers and their families must retain the authority and autonomy to designate their own family caregivers and this should be clearly documented in the resident’s care plan and record.4 Initially, each resident should be supported in allowing the reintroduction of at least two family caregivers, and these individuals should receive a caregiver identification card or badge.17,18

2. Restricted access to visiting must balance the risks of COVID-19 infection with the risks of social isolation to resident health, wellbeing and quality of life.

Strict blanket ‘no visitor’ policies were enacted early on during the pandemic with the recognition that visitors were potential vectors for the introduction of COVID-19 infection into LTC homes and transmission back into the wider community.19 When these policies were implemented, LTC homes were more vulnerable to COVID-19 outbreaks for several reasons: 1) the extent of asymptomatic transmission and atypical presentations of COVID-19 were not fully appreciated, 2) access to timely and comprehensive COVID-19 testing was limited, impairing homes ability to identify outbreaks, and determine scale and scope, including symptomatic and asymptomatic cases, 3) many homes had not fully adopted robust IPAC approaches including universal masking of staff and enabling them to work at only one healthcare setting, and 4) access to PPE was more limited.20-22
Now that many homes are working to address these deficiencies, it is essential that we also focus on the considerable detrimental effects of the ongoing lockdown of LTC homes and restricted access to family caregivers and general visitors.\textsuperscript{23,24} Many residents have experienced severe and potentially irreversible functional and cognitive declines, deteriorations in physical and mental health, severe loneliness and social isolation, worsening of responsive behaviours and increased use of psychotropic medications and physical restraints.\textsuperscript{24-27} Worse, many residents have died alone without family present to support end-of-life needs. While virtual visiting was implemented to try and meet the psychosocial needs of residents, it is no substitute for family caregivers who prior to the lockdown were providing substantial care and support for many residents.

These negative outcomes have raised concerns that the risks associated with ongoing blanket visitor restrictions outweigh the benefits associated with preventing COVID-19 outbreaks in LTC homes, particularly in Canadians jurisdictions with low rates of community transmission.\textsuperscript{2} Additionally, these restrictions may be violating the autonomy of residents and their right to make informed and risk-based decisions which prioritize their access to visitors over the risks of them contracting COVID-19. In Ontario, the Long-Term Care Homes Act recognizes the right of every resident to “receive visitors of his or her choice...without interference”, which is legally required and enforceable under contract as set out in the Act.\textsuperscript{28} There are also several active legal challenges across the country arguing that fundamental resident and human rights are being violated.\textsuperscript{29,30}

Importantly, many homes have made improvements in IPAC and there are now basic processes in place to support the safe reintegration of family caregivers and general visitors. There is also now a much greater understanding of public health guidance and recommendations including universal masking as well as increased access to testing for the general public, which would further reduce the risk of COVID-19 being introduced into LTC homes. Finally, as partners in care, most family caregivers may already be trained and experienced in IPAC and PPE procedures since other infectious outbreaks are not uncommon occurrences in LTC homes.
Visitor policies should prioritize equity over equality and be both flexible and compassionate

Visitor policies must prioritize equity over equality, recognizing that a “one size fits all” approach is neither optimal nor practical. Whereas equality would mean giving all LTC residents the same access to visitors, equity means giving LTC residents the right amount of access they need to maintain their health and wellbeing. Importantly, visitor policies must not prioritize the convenience of the LTC homes over the best interests of their residents in receiving the care and support of family caregivers and visitors.

LTC homes must reserve the right to create and implement visitor screening protocols consistent with local public health guidance and procedures for visits that maintain the safety and wellbeing of all residents and staff members. However, blanket implementation of policies must be avoided, and instead policies uniquely supporting family caregivers and general visitors must be both flexible and compassionate, recognizing that some of the new conditions and procedures surrounding visiting may not work for all residents, family caregivers and visitors. This includes providing flexibility around the timing of visits (e.g., some visitors may have work and other caregiving duties), the location of visits (e.g., some residents and/or visitors may not be able to tolerate outdoor visits because of inclement weather and/or bedbound status), the length or frequency of visits (e.g., as some visitors may be traveling long distances, longer visits should be considered), absolute restrictions on physical contact (e.g., some residents with cognitive impairment and/or behavioural issues may neither be able to understand nor comply with physical distancing).

Furthermore, as procuring ample PPE may be challenging for many members of the public, both family caregivers and general visitors must be able to receive the necessary PPE to facilitate these visits from the home itself. Homes must maintain ample PPE supplies so as to not create situations where a lack of supply could restrict access to visitors and negatively impact resident quality of life. Homes will require additional funding and resources to support this.
4. Governments, public health authorities and LTC homes must provide regular, transparent, accessible and evidence-based communication and direction about visitor policies and access.

Many LTC residents and their families and friends have grown increasingly frustrated about a lack of transparency and regular communication regarding the development and implementation of visitor policies and restrictions. In order to foster trust and maintain public, resident, caregiver and staff confidence, it is imperative that governments, public health authorities and LTC homes be transparent about the following information: who is responsible for decision-making, which evidence and metrics are being used to develop and monitor responses to visitor policies, and what are the timelines and outcomes for progression and regression of phased responses. Further, if increased visitor restrictions are required (i.e. there is an outbreak), they should be implemented in a transparent manner with the same open and clear communication provided to residents as well as their family caregivers and family members.15

5. Robust data related to re-opening LTC homes to family caregivers and general visitors should be collected and reported.

It is imperative that individual homes, with the support of local health authorities and public health units, collect and report data on COVID-19 cases as it relates to reopening. In Canada, the National Institute on Ageing Long-Term Care COVID-19 Tracker could support this (https://ltc-covid19-tracker.ca). It is recognized that many decisions about balancing different risks to residents, staff, family caregivers and visitors to LTC homes are difficult. However, it is also true that it is less difficult to impose restrictions than it is to remove them. Public health and governmental authorities should also be actively working to use modelling and evidence to remove visitor restrictions as quickly as possible as regional community prevalence declines. Further, the existing Resident Assessment Instrument–Minimum Data Set (RAI-MDS) 2.0 which is already collected on at least a quarterly basis for all residents and reported to the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI), could be leveraged to assess the impact of both restricted visitor access and the reintegration of visitors on resident health and wellbeing. Previous experience in 26 LTC homes in the Netherlands which reopened to visitors pointed to substantial improvements in resident wellbeing without a single new case of COVID-19; this motivated the Dutch government to allow all nursing homes in the Netherlands to judiciously reopen their homes to visitors.35
6. A mechanism for feedback and a process for rapid appeals should be established.

Clinical anecdotes, caregiver experiences and a rapid response expert advisory group from the federally-funded Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (CFHI) have identified that there are marked inconsistencies in how regional visitor policies are being interpreted and implemented. Residents, family caregivers and visitors in all jurisdictions need access to a feedback and rapid appeals process. Recognizing that ombudspersons and existing LTC complaint and support lines do not function as arbitrators in these situations, homes should create an appeals body comprised of both LTC home staff and members of existing resident and family councils to help resolve disagreements around visitor policies and the designation of family caregivers.
During the first few months of the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada, its long-term care homes implemented strict no-visitor policies to reduce the risk of introducing COVID-19 in these settings. There are now concerns that the risks associated with restricted access to family caregivers and visitors have started to outweigh the potential benefits associated with preventing COVID-19 infections with this blunt public health intervention. Many LTC home residents have sustained severe and potentially irreversible physical, functional, cognitive, and mental health declines.

As Canada emerges from its first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, LTC homes across the country have cautiously started to reopen these settings, yet there is broad criticism that emerging visitor policies are overly restrictive, inequitable and potentially harmful. In order to find the right balance between infection prevention and supporting resident health and wellbeing, the six core principles and planning assumptions described in this guidance document were used to create recommended, evidence-informed, and expert-reviewed visitor policies for family caregivers (Table 1) and general visitors (Table 2) to LTC homes.
Table 1: Recommended LTC home visitor policy and access for “family caregivers”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Recommended policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Defining an “family caregiver”                | · Residents, substitute decision makers and their families must retain the authority and autonomy to determine who is essential to support them in their care and designate their own family caregivers.4  
· Governments, public health authorities and homes must not define who is a family caregiver, especially on the basis of either an individual’s caregiving involvement and role prior to the pandemic or by identifying those individuals providing services that would otherwise require a private duty caregiver. |
| 2. Allowable number of designated family caregivers | · A resident may designate at least two family caregivers.  
· Similar to guidance from Alberta Health Services, a resident may identify a temporary replacement family caregiver if the primary designated family caregivers are unable to perform their roles for a period of time; the intent is not for designates to change regularly or multiple times but to enable a replacement, when required.36 |
| 3. Allowable number of family caregivers in the LTC home at one time | · One family caregiver per resident should be allowed in the home at a time.  
· Under extenuating circumstances (i.e., end-of-life), this allowable number should be flexible. |
| 4. Allowable locations within the LTC home       | · As essential partners in care, family caregivers should have access to areas both outside and inside the home (similar to staff members) but must maintain physical distancing from other residents and staff. They should be provided with a caregiver identification and/or badge, and must abide by all IPAC and PPE requirements and procedures concerning staff members of the home.17,18 |
| 5. Allowable access during a COVID-19 outbreak    | · In order to promote relational continuity and meet the ongoing needs of residents, family caregivers should still have access to the home during a COVID-19 outbreak, as long as the following conditions are met:  
  - The family caregiver attests that they understand and appreciate they are entering a home under outbreak and that they are at increased risk of COVID-19 infection  
  - They must be trained in IPAC procedures and the proper use of PPE and abide by all outbreak-related policies that apply to staff members of the home. |
| 6. Allowable frequency and length of time for family caregiver presence | · No restrictions as long as it does not negatively impact the care of other residents or the ability of other family caregivers to provide care and support. |
| 7. Screening and testing requirements             | · As partners in care, family caregivers should be subjected to the same COVID-19 screening requirements as LTC home staff. If asymptomatic COVID-19 testing is recommended, family caregivers should be provided with the same access to testing as staff members of the home. |
| 8. IPAC and PPE requirements                     | · As partners in care, family caregivers should receive an orientation and be educated and trained to follow the same IPAC and PPE requirements and procedures as staff members of the home, including remaining masked at all times.3 The Ottawa Hospital has designed a PPE training video specifically for family caregivers: www.youtube.com/watch?v=GkALc5wcn0c&feature=youtu.be  
· Family caregivers can only enter one LTC or congregate care setting within a 14-day period.  
· Homes must maintain ample PPE supply to enable family caregivers’ participation in care.  
· Failure of family caregivers to comply with these procedures could be grounds for loss of their rights to participate in care as family caregivers, which should be appealable. |
Table 2: Recommended LTC home visitor policy and access for “general visitors”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Recommended policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Number of allowable visitors at one time | - Outdoors: similar to guidance from the Saskatchewan Health Authority, outdoor visits can include more than one visitor at a time, provided that physical distancing can be maintained. Additionally, family members from the same household and/or bubble should not have to physically distance from one another.  
- Indoors: one visitor per resident in the home at a time. Similar to guidance from the British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, a visitor who is a child may be accompanied by one parent, guardian or family member.37 |
| 2. Allowable locations of visits and access during an outbreak | - Outdoor visits should be prioritized, when possible and feasible, to both minimize the risk of COVID-19 transmission and to maximize the number of possible visitors. Provinces like Manitoba plan to construct outdoor, all-season visiting shelters.38  
- When outdoor visits are not feasible for either the resident or the visitor (e.g. for cognitive, psychiatric or physical reasons), the home must provide an indoor alternative which provides ample open space for physical distancing and adequate ventilation.  
- Exceptional circumstances may sometimes necessitate the visitor meeting the resident in their room, but this should be a last resort if none of the previously noted alternative options are deemed feasible. |
| 3. Allowable access during a COVID-19 outbreak | - If the home goes into COVID-19 outbreak status, general visits may need to be temporarily suspended (if advised by the local public health authority), but in the event of an outbreak that does not involve the entire home, consideration should be given to suspending visits only on the floor or unit under outbreak. Virtual visits must be upscaled during suspensions of in-persons visits. |
| 4. Allowable frequency and length of time for visits | - As per the Ontario Ministry of Long-Term Care, visits should be no less than 60 minutes/visit and residents should have access to visitors at a minimum of once per week.39 |
| 5. Screening and testing requirements | - Visitors must pass an active screening questionnaire (which may include an on-site temperature check) but there should be no requirement for COVID-19 testing for outdoor and physically distanced visits. If exceptional circumstances necessitate a general visitor entering the resident’s room, they should be subject to the same screening and testing requirements as family caregivers. |
| 6. IPAC and PPE requirements | - Visitors must remain masked (cloth or surgical/procedure for outdoor visits and surgical/procedure for indoor visits) at all times and maintain at least 2 metres of physical distance from the resident they are visiting. Visitors should be encouraged to bring their own cloth masks for outdoor visits, but appearing without a mask should not be a barrier to visiting.  
- If masking of visitors causes distress to the resident (e.g. for cognitive or mental health reasons) or poses difficulties with either recognizing (e.g. cognitive impairment) or understanding the resident (e.g. hearing-impaired residents who rely on lipreading) a face shield which wraps around the chin or a transparent mask can be considered as alternatives.  
- Consideration may be given to allowing brief hugs and handholding while maintaining as much distance as possible between the faces of the resident and visitor, and ensuring the availability of alcohol-based hand sanitizer for prompt and effective hand hygiene both immediately before and after these encounters.40  
- Homes must maintain ample PPE supply to enable resident visits.  
- Failure of visitors to comply with procedures could be grounds for a loss of visiting rights, which should be appealable. |
| 7. Accommodations for visitors while on-site at the LTC home | - Visitors must have access to bathrooms (an accessible outdoor sheltered bathroom or designated indoor bathroom).  
- Outdoor visiting must occur in weather protected settings (e.g. a shaded area with hydration for hot weather, a sheltered area for rain, or a heated area for colder weather). |
| 8. End-of-life considerations | - Residents designated as being “critically ill” or at “end-of-life” (<14-day life expectancy) should be provided with the same level of access that would be rendered to a family caregiver. If visitors need to enter the home under these circumstances, they should be subject to the same conditions and procedures as “family caregivers”. |
### Appendix 1: LTC home visitor policies for Canada’s ten provinces and three territories (as of July 14, 2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province/Territory</th>
<th>Distinguishes between “family caregivers” and general visitors</th>
<th>Visitor allowances and requirements for family caregivers</th>
<th>Visitor requirements and allowances for general visits</th>
<th>Dates and link(s) to guideline(s)/directive(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Alberta            | Yes, defined as:                                              | 1. A resident may have only one Designated Essential Visitor. However, a resident may identify a temporary replacement Designated Essential Visitor for approval if the Designated Essential Visitor is unable to perform their role for a period of time. This intent is not for this designate to change regularly or multiple times but to enable a replacement, when required. | 1. Designated Essential Visitor and up to one additional person can visit at one time. | 1. May 7, 2020: [https://open.alberta.ca/dataset/1a2011e5-fc79-43b4-aab0-1c276b16b99b/resource/35ab8044-8c19-480a-9799-ef4f9b95c376](https://open.alberta.ca/dataset/1a2011e5-fc79-43b4-aab0-1c276b16b99b/resource/35ab8044-8c19-480a-9799-ef4f9b95c376)  
3. July 8, 2020: [https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/topics/Page17001.aspx](https://www.albertahealthservices.ca/topics/Page17001.aspx) |
|                    | - Where the resident’s quality of life and/or care needs cannot be met without the assistance of the “Designated Essential Visitor”. | 2. One visitor per resident. | 2. Outdoor visits only. |                               |
|                    | - May be a family member, friend, religious and spiritual advisor or paid caregiver. | 3. Not specified. | 3. Not specified. |                               |
|                    |                                                               | 4. No frequency or time restriction specified. | 4. Health screening, questionnaire and temperature check. No testing requirement. |                               |
|                    |                                                               | 5. Health screening, questionnaire and temperature check. No testing requirement. | 5. Continuously wear a mask (type not specified) at all times (indoors and outdoors), hand hygiene, PPE and IPAC training will be provided. |                               |
|                    |                                                               | 6. Must wear a mask (type not specified) at all times (indoors and outdoors), hand hygiene, PPE and IPAC guidance will be provided. | 6. Not specified. |                               |
|                    |                                                               | 7. End-of-life considerations: | 7. PPE will be provided. |                               |
| Alberta            | “Residents allowed outside as long as they are physically distancing.” | - Designated Essential Visitor is permitted to visit “as much as required”. | | |
### British Columbia

*May leave the home for medically necessary care or treatment.*

Yes, defined as:
- Visits considered paramount to resident care and well-being, such as assistance with feeding, communication, personal care, emotional support or mobility.
- Existing registered volunteers providing services as described above only.

1. Not specified.
2. Essential visits limited to one visitor per resident at a time. A visitor who is a child may be accompanied by one parent, guardian or family member.
3. Essential visits can occur with a COVID+ patient or client.
4. No frequency or length of time specified.
5. Screened for signs and symptoms of illness. No testing requirement.
6. Instructed "when to perform hand hygiene, respiratory etiquette and safe physical distancing" and "how to put on and remove any required PPE".
7. Essential visits include visits for compassionate care, including critical illness, palliative care, hospice care, end of life, and Medical Assistance in Dying.

1. Residents can visit with one designated family member or friend.
2. Three locations:
   - Outdoor location for visiting (when weather permits)
   - Indoor designated location (summer and especially fall/winter)
   - Individual room visits (focused on limited mobility of a resident)
3. Frequency and time limits are not specified, but visits must be booked in advance.
4. Screening for signs and symptoms.
5. Visitors must bring and wear a mask (type not specified), wash hands before and after, and maintain physical distancing. They will be directed on how to put on and remove PPE if necessary.
6. Visits with those with mobility challenges will be assessed on an individual basis.
7. Not specified.

### Manitoba

*Off-site visits not recommended.*

Yes, defined as:
- Close family and/or friends who have a clearly established pattern of involvement in providing care and support to the resident’s emotional wellbeing, health, and quality of life.

1. Residents may designate a reasonable number of close family members and/or friends for visits, but goal would be kept to minimum.
2. Only 1-2 designated visitors allowed in at a time (depending on risk status of the home).
3. Yes, under strict guidelines.
4. No frequency or length of time specified.
5. Testing not required. Screening before entry, but no temperature checks.
6. Depending on risk level, must wear appropriate PPE for the setting. Outbreak status requires medical mask, all else requires non-medical mask, physical distancing, and IPAC guidance.
7. End-of-life visits will be considered on a case-by-case basis. Up to two designated visitors may visit together if physical distancing can be maintained.

1. Maximum of two visitors at one time.
2. Outdoors only. No off-property visits are permitted.
3. No length of time or frequency specified.
4. Screening but no temperature checks. No testing required.
5. Non-medical mask (cloth) encouraged, physical distancing, hand hygiene and IPAC guidance.
7. Not specified.

---

**Summary and Recommended Visitor Policies**

### New Brunswick

No clear distinction is made.

1. Not specified.
2. Not specified.
5. Not specified.
7. Palliative patients are permitted to select two visitors to comfort and support them.
   - The two individuals selected will be the only visitors permitted, and only one visitor is permitted at a time.
   - If a visitor requires a support person to visit (e.g. frail elderly spouse or individual with a disability), this person counts as the second chosen visitor.
   - In exceptional cases, the support person can visit at the same time while respecting physical distancing in the home.
   - There can be no change in the two visitors chosen.

### Newfoundland and Labrador

Yes, defined as:

- Residents can identify a support person/designated visitor that can be a loved one, friend, paid caregiver, or other person of the resident’s choosing. This individual should remain constant for the duration of the visiting restrictions.

1. One support person per resident.
2. One person per resident.
3. Total caregivers in the home at one time will be limited by a booking system.
4. Not permitted when home is in outbreak.
5. May only visit once per day. No length of time for visits specified.
6. Must undergo screening process upon entry and complete the self-assessment questionnaire.
7. Must wear a “procedural” mask, follow proper hand hygiene, PPE training will be provided, practice physical distancing, and limiting their social interactions outside the home (people in their ‘bubble’) to minimize their personal risk and risk to the resident.
8. First stage of visiting for end of life:
   - Six designated visitors where one is primary support person (not limited to once a day visits) and five are visitors (can visit once a day).
   - Two individuals can be present during a visit. May exceed two when children aged 18 and under are visiting.
   - A Pastoral support person is in addition to the designated visitors.
   As the resident nears end-of-life:
   - All immediate family will be permitted to visit and not limited to one visit per day.

### Summary and Recommended Visitor Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>New Brunswick</th>
<th>Newfoundland and Labrador</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Not specified.</td>
<td>1. One support person per resident.</td>
<td>1. Each resident can identify a support person and up to five designated visitors. The six individuals should remain constant for the duration of the visiting restrictions. A maximum of two people can visit per day, and two visitors can attend at the same time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Not specified.</td>
<td>2. One person per resident.</td>
<td>2. Type of visit is dependent on individual home and homes can choose between:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Not specified.</td>
<td>3. Total caregivers in the home at one time will be limited by a booking system.</td>
<td>- In-home visiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Not specified.</td>
<td>4. Not permitted when home is in outbreak.</td>
<td>- Window visiting (more than one visitor at a time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Not specified.</td>
<td>5. May only visit once per day. No length of time for visits specified.</td>
<td>- Outdoor visiting (more than one visitor at a time and visitors are not limited to the resident’s support persons/designated visitors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Palliative patients are permitted to select two visitors to comfort and support them.</td>
<td>7. Must wear a “procedural” mask, follow proper hand hygiene, PPE training will be provided, practice physical distancing, and limiting their social interactions outside the home (people in their ‘bubble’) to minimize their personal risk and risk to the resident.</td>
<td>4. For outdoor visits, self-assessment required. For indoor visits, screening by staff. Not specified for window visiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. First stage of visiting for end of life:</td>
<td>8. First stage of visiting for end of life:</td>
<td>5. For outdoor visiting, physical distancing must be maintained and visitors must wear a “procedural” mask. They are not permitted to wear their own masks. Hand hygiene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Six designated visitors where one is primary support person (not limited to once a day visits) and five are visitors (can visit once a day).</td>
<td>- Six designated visitors where one is primary support person (not limited to once a day visits) and five are visitors (can visit once a day).</td>
<td>6. Not specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Two individuals can be present during a visit. May exceed two when children aged 18 and under are visiting.</td>
<td>- Two individuals can be present during a visit. May exceed two when children aged 18 and under are visiting.</td>
<td>7. Visitors will be provided a procedural mask upon entry to the home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- A Pastoral support person is in addition to the designated visitors.</td>
<td>- A Pastoral support person is in addition to the designated visitors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As the resident nears end-of-life:</td>
<td>As the resident nears end-of-life:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- All immediate family will be permitted to visit and not limited to one visit per day.</td>
<td>- All immediate family will be permitted to visit and not limited to one visit per day.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


---

1. [https://www.gov.nl.ca/covid-19/guidelines-for-support-person-designated-visitors/](https://www.gov.nl.ca/covid-19/guidelines-for-support-person-designated-visitors/)
3. [Horizon Health Network](https://en.horizonnb.ca/home/media-centre/horizon-news/20200622-covidvisitorguidelines.aspx)
6. [https://www2.gnb.ca/content/gnb/en/corporate/promo/covid-19/recovery.html](https://www2.gnb.ca/content/gnb/en/corporate/promo/covid-19/recovery.html)
### Nova Scotia

No clear distinction, however, visitors who are performing essential support care services for the resident (i.e. similar to a personal support worker) are permitted to visit.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>One person per resident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>One person per resident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Must be screened upon entry and includes temperature checks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Can only visit the one resident and no others. Must be supported by staff in appropriately using PPE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Compassionate exceptions will be made for those visiting very ill or palliative residents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Must be screened upon entry and includes temperature checks.

6. Can only visit the one resident and no others. Must be supported by staff in appropriately using PPE.

7. Compassionate exceptions will be made for those visiting very ill or palliative residents.

---

### North West Territories

Yes, defined as:

- Essential visitor is defined as "a person who is permitted to visit in accordance with organizational/HSSA direction/guidance (i.e. palliation or end-of-life, etc.)."

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Only one designated essential visitor per resident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Maximum of 5 visitors at any one time in the home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Admission of essential visitors must be suspended when COVID-19 detected in the home, or the community/region where the home is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Active screening. No testing requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Staff must support, train and monitor essential visitors for compliance in hand hygiene, healthy respiratory practices, physical distancing and appropriate use of PPE. Medical masks must be worn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Essential visitors include those visiting palliative residents or those at end-of-life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Visitor Policies

- Limited to two visitors at any one time.

- Limited to two visitors at any one time.

---

### Summary and Recommended Visitor Policies

### Nunavut

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- On June 29, 2020, visitors must be immediate family (including grandchildren and great-grandchildren).

### Ontario

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. One visitor per resident. General visitors are defined as 'any family member, close friend, or neighbor.'</td>
<td>2. Outdoor visits only.</td>
<td>3. Once a week, visits can be time-limited but cannot be restricted to less than 30 minutes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Yes, defined as:
  - Essential visitors include family or volunteers providing care services and other health care services required to maintain good health.

- Off-site visits not permitted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prince Edward Island</th>
<th>Summary and Recommended Visitor Policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Residents must remain within the grounds of their home.</em></td>
<td>1. One designated essential support person per resident, at the discretion of care team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, defined as:</td>
<td>2. One designated essential support person per resident at one time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- An &quot;essential support person&quot; may be designated for residents with a history of responsive behaviours who are at risk of escalating to the point of crisis at the discretion of the home's staff.</td>
<td>3. No visitors are permitted on designated COVID-19 units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The designated essential support person identified to provide physical and emotional well-being must have a demonstrated history of de-escalating the resident.</td>
<td>4. Limited to 1 hour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- This visit may include supporting communication needs for persons with hearing, visual, speech, cognitive, intellectual or memory impairments.</td>
<td>5. All visitors will be screened for COVID-19 symptoms but there are no testing requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. One designated essential support person per resident, at the discretion of care team.</td>
<td>2. Limited to 1 hour as frequently as the home can handle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. One designated essential support person per resident at one time.</td>
<td>3. All visitors will be screened for COVID-19 symptoms. Must practice physical distancing, wear a mask (medical grade for indoor visits) or face shield, follow IPAC guidelines and hand hygiene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No visitors are permitted on designated COVID-19 units.</td>
<td>4. Exception for last hours of life (all 6 can visit together along with clergy member).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Limited to 1 hour.</td>
<td>5. Not specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. All visitors will be screened for COVID-19 symptoms but there are no testing requirements.</td>
<td>6. PPE will be provided as necessary. Masks, tissues, alcohol-based hand rub and no-touch receptacle provided at each entrance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Must practice physical distancing, wear a mask (medical grade), follow IPAC guidelines and hand hygiene.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quebec

*As of June 18th, residents can go on unsupervised outings out of the home.*

Yes, defined as:

- “Caregivers who provide or would like to provide significant assistance and support to a loved one to meet their needs and contribute to their integrity and well-being. Assistance and support may include: helping with meals; supervising and being attentive to the person’s overall condition; providing support with various daily or recreational activities; assistance with walking; providing moral support or comfort.”

- A significant caregiver… residents must have received support from the person before visiting restrictions were put in place due to COVID-19.

- Visitors are only allowed in CHSLDs, intermediate or family-type resources (SAPA program) or private seniors’ homes without a COVID-19 outbreak. A visitor is anyone who wants to visit the person in the home and who does not meet the criteria to be identified as a caregiver.

1. May designate more than one essential family caregiver.
2. A maximum of two essential family caregivers from the same household can be in the home at a time.
4. No limit on frequency or on length of time.
5. Self-monitoring of symptoms. No testing requirement.
6. Must remain continuously masked and wear PPE as required. Training of visitors and procedural masks must be available in sufficient quantity for visits to be allowed.
7. Compassionate visits will be permitted when death is imminent (24-48 hours). A maximum of two visitors are allowed at one time.

1. Maximum of two visitors at one time from the same household.
2. Indoors and outdoors.
3. No limit on length of time or on frequency.
5. Must remain continuously masked in the home and wear PPE as required. Training of visitors and procedural masks must be available in sufficient quantity for visits to be allowed.
7. Not specified.

### Saskatchewan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, defined as:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Where it is determined that the resident’s quality of life and/or care needs cannot be met without the assistance of a family member or support person.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Can designate two family members/support persons.
2. Only one family/support person can be present in the home at a time.
3. Not specified, as per the home’s policies.
4. No frequency or length of time for visits specified.
5. Screening including a temperature check and questionnaire. Testing not required.
6. Will be provided with a medical grade mask and potentially additional PPE. Must follow IPAC guidelines and hand hygiene.
7. End-of-life/compassionate care visits will be permitted.
   - One family member/support person can be present at a time.
   - A second family member or support person can be present if physical distancing can be maintained (if from the same family home, physical distancing does not apply).
   - Additional family members or support persons can be identified for end-of-life visits.
   - Religious/spiritual care providers can be present in addition to designated family member/support person if physical distancing can be maintained.

### Yukon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, defined as:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- A “designated essential visitor can visit” inside “when staff cannot meet a resident’s quality of life or care needs” and may include services for someone with cognitive impairment or dementia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Designated essential visitors must be named by the resident or their substitute decision maker, they cannot be under age 18, and the resident care manager must confirm that they meet the criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Each resident can have 2 designated essential visitors.
2. The essential visitor, as well as one other person. If the resident does not have a designated essential visitor, they can have one identified general visitor plus one other person. The total group size should not be more than 3 (including the resident).
5. Active screening (questionnaire and temperature check).
6. Must wear a medical mask continuously in the home. They will be provided with instruction on how to put on and take off masks with proper hand hygiene. They must also wear any other PPE, as required.
7. If the resident will die within the next four weeks:
   - The essential visitor may enter the home. Up to 5 people can be approved, but only 1-2 visitors are allowed in the care home at a time including family, religious leader(s), a child, and friends. If the approved visitor is a child, the essential visitor or child’s parent/guardian must go with them.
   - The resident can have up to 2 visitors in the bedroom at the same time if physical distancing is possible.

### Summary and Recommended Visitor Policies

- **General visitor** is defined as a visitor who:
  - Must wear a mask during the visit.
  - Not specified.
  - Not specified.
  - Not specified.
  - Outdoor visits only with appropriate social distancing.
  - End-of-life visits are not recommended.
  - A “designated essential visitor” can visit, provided that physical distancing can be maintained.
  - A “general visitor” can visit when staff cannot meet the criteria.
  - No maximum number of visitors at one time.
  - “Outdoor visits can include more than one visitor at a time, provided that physical distancing can be maintained. Family members from the same household do not have to physically distance from one another”. 
  - No frequency or length of time specified.

- **Residents may visit family or friends overnight, or for several night stays. Decision will be made with care team, however, it is not recommended.**

- **Residents not permitted to leave home except for outdoor visits.**

### Notes

Acknowledgement of Expert Reviewers

We gratefully acknowledge the numerous experts who reviewed and commented on this guidance – their names are listed below. None of them received compensation for their contributions.

Amit Arya, MD, CCFP(PC), FCFP Palliative Care Physician, Division of Supportive & Palliative Care, William Osler Health System

Jane Barratt, PhD
Secretary General, International Federation on Ageing (IFA)

Lisa Berger, MD, CCFP(COE), FCFP, FRCPC
Community Medicine (Public Health and Preventive Medicine) Specialist and Associate Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health

Christian Bergman, MD
Assistant Professor, Division of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University

Isaac I. Bogoch, MD, FRCPC
Toronto General Hospital

Sandy Buchman MD, CCFP(PC), FCFP
President, Canadian Medical Association

Martha Carmichael, MD, FRCPC
Medical Director, Provincial Geriatrics Program, Prince Edward Island

Lucas Castellani, MBBS, FRCPC
Infectious Diseases/Internal Medicine, Sault Area Hospital

Eyal Cohen, MD, MSc, FRCP(C)
Staff Physician, Division of Paediatric Medicine, Complex Care Program, The Hospital for Sick Children

Rhonda Collins, BScN, MD, CCFP(COE)
Chief Medical Officer, Reversa

Nancy Cooper, BSc, MHSA
Director of Quality & Performance, Ontario Long Term Care Association

Amy Coupal, M.Ed.
Chief Executive Officer, The Ontario Caregiver Organization

Kenneth Covinsky, MD, MPH, BS
Professor of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, University of California San Francisco (UCSF)

Julie Drury, BSc
Strategic Lead, Patient Partnerships, Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement

Helen Eby, RN, MSA
Retired Long-Term Care Nurse and Administrator

Gerald Evans, MD, FRCPC
Professor and Chair, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Queen’s University

David Fisman, MD, MPH, FRCPC
Professor of Clinical Epidemiology, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto
Acknowledgement of Expert Reviewers

Kyle Fitzgerald
Manager, Government Relations,
Alzheimer Society of Ontario

Veronica Gerber
Essential Family Caregiver

Sudeep Gill, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Queen’s University

Margaret Gillis
Founding President, International Longevity Centre Canada

Russell Goldman, MD, MPH, CCFP
Director, Temmy Latner Centre for Palliative Care, Sinai Health System

Rebecca Greenberg, RN, PhD
Senior Bioethicist, Sinai Health System and Department of Paediatrics, University of Toronto

Allan Grill, MD, CCFP(COE), MPH, FCFP, CCPE
Chief, Department of Family Medicine, Markham Stouffville Hospital

Doris Grinspun, RN, MSN, PhD, LLD(hon), Dr(hc), FAAN, O.ONT.
Chief Executive Officer, Registered Nurses’ Association of Ontario (RNAO)

Kathy Hickman
Director Education Design and Training, Alzheimer Society of Ontario

Andrea Iaboni, MD, DPhil, FRCPC
Geriatric Psychiatrist and Clinician Scientist, Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, University Health Network

Dale Kalina, MD, MBA, FRCPC
Infectious Diseases Physician, Medical Director Antimicrobial Stewardship, Joseph Brant Hospital

Kelly Kay, MA, PhD(c)
Executive Director, Provincial Geriatrics Leadership Office

Janice M. Keefe, PhD
Director, Nova Scotia Centre on Aging, Mount Saint Vincent University

Maggie Keresteci, MA, CHE
Executive Director, Canadian Association for Health Services & Policy Research

Jerome Leis, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Medical Director of Infection Prevention and Control, Sunnybrook Hospital

Sarah Khan, MD, FRCPC, DTM
Associate Medical Director for Infection Prevention Control for Hamilton Health Sciences

Dee Lender
Executive Director, Ontario Association of Residents’ Councils

Pamela Libralesso, RSSW
Essential Family Caregiver

Barbara Liu, MD, FRCPC
Geriatrician and Executive Director, Regional Geriatric Program of Toronto
Yona Lunsky, PhD, CPsych
Professor, Department of Psychiatry at the University of Toronto

Isobel Mackenzie
Seniors Advocate, British Columbia

Ramona Mahtani, MD, CCFP(PC)
Palliative Care Physician, Temmy Latner Centre for Palliative Care at Sinai Health System

Fred Mather, MD
President, Ontario Long Term Care Clinicians (OLTCC)

Larissa Matukas, MD, FRCPC
Head, Division of Microbiology, St. Michael’s Hospital

Lynn McDonald, PhD, MSW
Professor, Faculty of Social Work, University of Toronto

Susan Mills
Essential Family Caregiver

Raza Mirza, PhD, MSc
Network Manager, The National Initiative for the Care of the Elderly (NICE)

Andrew Morris, MD, SM(Epi), FRCPC
Medical Director, Antimicrobial Stewardship Program, Sinai Health and University Health Network

Matthew Muller, MD, FRCPC, PhD
Medical Director, Infection Prevention and Control, St. Michael’s Hospital

Jeya T. Nadarajah, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Infectious Disease Specialist, Markham Stouffville Hospital

Samantha Peck
Executive Director, Family Councils Ontario

Jeff E. Powis MD, FRCPC
Infectious Diseases Physician and Medical Director, Infection Prevention and Control, Michael Garron Hospital

Patrick Quail, MB
Canadian Society for Long Term Care Medicine

Kiran Rabheru, MD, CCFP, FRCP, DABPN
Geriatric Psychiatrist and Co-Chair, Canadian Coalition for Seniors' Mental Health (CCSMH)

Madhuri Reddy, MD, MSc
Geriatrician, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Division of Gerontology, Harvard Medical School

Benoit Robert, MD, MBA
Chief Medical Officer, The Perley and Rideau Veterans’ Health Centre

Paula Rochon, MD, MPH, FRCPC
Vice-President, Research, Women’s College Hospital

Nirav R. Shah, MD, MPH
Senior Scholar, Clinical Excellence Research Center, Stanford University
Michael Silverman, MD, FRCP, FACP
Professor and Chair, Division of Infectious Diseases, Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, Western University

Andrew Simor, MD, FRCPC
Professor Emeritus, Microbiology and infectious Diseases, University of Toronto

Claire Snyman, BSc
Author, speaker, health care advocate

Vivian Stamatopoulos, PhD
Associate Teaching Professor, Faculty of Social Sciences & Humanities, Ontario Tech University

Christina Stergiou-Dayment
Program Director, First Link, Alzheimer Society of Ontario

Laura Tamblyn Watts, BA(Hons), LLB
Chief Executive Officer, CanAGE

Nisha Thampi, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Medical Director, Infection Prevention and Control, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO)

Donna Thomson
Author, caregiver activist, speaker

Cheryl Volling, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Infectious Disease Specialists, University Health Network

Camilla Wong, MD, FRCPC, MHSc
Geriatrician, St. Michael’s Hospital, Unity Health Toronto
References


11. Stall N. We should care more about caregivers. CMAJ. 2019;191(9):E245-E246.


To learn more about the NIA visit our website at http://www.nia-ryerson.ca/ and follow us on twitter @RyersonNIA